Advanced Nuclear Energy 101

Louisiana Public Service Commission

February 8, 2024





© 2024 Nuclear Energy Institute

Nuclear Power Contributions

470.1 million

Carbon emission reductions per year in metric tons

188,000 Short tons of NOx prevented

227,000 Short tons of SO₂ prevented

>90%

The average capacity factor since 1999

\$10 billion

Contributions in federal taxes each year

\$2.2 billion

Contributions in state taxes each year

475,000 Jobs supported

6% Average electricity bill savings for consumers

\$60 billion

in contributions to the country's GDP

U.S. Clean Generation (2022) NÉI

45.5% NUCLEAR

> **25.6%** WIND

15.1% HYDRO

12.0% SOLAR

1.0% GEOTHERMAL

Nuclear Energy in Louisiana



Sources of electricity in Louisiana State Carbon Goals 91.6% Net zero GHG emissions by Nuclear 2050 Nuclear's share of 15.4% Louisiana's carbon-free electricity, complementing Natural Gas wind and solar **Utility Carbon Goals** 68.3% 1,670 American Electric Power Coal 7.8% High-paying, reliable jobs Enterav provided by Louisiana's nuclear plants NUCLEAR PLANTS

REACTOR DETAILS

Reactor Name	County	Majority Owner	Capacity (MW)	Capacity Factor (%)	License End Year	_
River Bend 1	West Feliciana	Entergy	968	93.3%	2045	
Waterford 3	St Charles	Entergy	1,165	86.9%	2044	

Nuclear News

The 2022 Louisiana Climate Action Plan includes nuclear in the recommendations to meet a net-zero goal by 2050.



89.8%

Capacity factor of nuclear plants in Louisiana from 2020 to 2022

8.2 million Metric tons of carbon emissions avoided in Louisiana

1.1 million

Number of homes powered by nuclear energy in Louisiana

Source: https://www.nei.org/resources/fact-sheets/u-s-nuclear-plants

Nuclear Energy is Affordable



"Nuclear appears to be the cheapest scalable, clean energy source by far."

Exhibit 20: Nuclear is cost-effective... Cost of generation, different sources (\$/MWh)



Source: BofA Research Investment Committee, Lazard, Entler, et al. (2018). Note: nuclear, coal, and natural gas price estimates from Entler, et al. Wind and solar cost estimates are from Lazard's 2023 Levelized Cost of Energy+ report. Wind + battery and solar + battery use estimates from California's Independent System Operator (CAISO) and assume a 4-hour lithium-ion battery storage system to account for firming costs. All cost estimates show unsubsidized costs. BofA GLOBAL RESEARCH **Exhibit 21: ...especially on an "all-in basis"...** LCOE & LFSCOE calculations by energy source



Exhibit 22: ...and has the highest energy ROI Energy returned on energy invested, by source



Source: BofA Research Investment Committee, D. Weißbach, G. Ruprecht, A. Huke, K. Czerski, S. Gottlie, A. Hussein; Red signals EROI below economically viable threshold BofA GLOBAL RESEARCH

Bank of America Analyst Report: https://advisoranalyst.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/bofa-the-ric-report-the-nuclear-necessity-20230509.pdf

Types of Advanced Reactors



Range of sizes and features to meet diverse market needs



Lowest System Cost Achieved by Enabling Large Scale New Nuclear Deployment



Lowest Cost System



Nuclear is 43% of generation (>300 GWe of new nuclear)



Wind and solar are 50%





Wind and Solar are 77% of generation



Nuclear is 13% (>60 GWe of new nuclear)



Both scenarios are successful in reducing electricity grid GHG emissions by over 95% by 2050 and reducing the economy-wide GHG emissions by over 60%



System Benefits of Advanced Reactors



Long term price stability	Low fuel and operating costs
Reliable dispatchable generation	 24/7, 365 days per year, years between refueling (Capacity factors >92%)
Efficient use of transmission	 Land utilization <0.1 acre/TWh (Wind =1,125 acre/TWh; Solar 144 acre/TWh)
Environmentally friendly	 Zero-carbon emissions, one of lowest total carbon footprints Many SMRs are being designed with ability for dry air cooling
Integration with renewables and storage	 Paired with heat storage and able to quickly change power
Black-start and operate independent from the grid	 Resilience for mission critical activities Protect against natural phenomena, cyber threats, and EMP

Source: SMR Start, SMRs in Integrated Resource Planning

Economic Benefits of SMRs

ŊÊI

- Employment
 - 900 manufacturing and construction jobs over 4 years (average)
 - 300 permanent positions during 60+ years of operation
 - Multiplier effect: additional 1.66 jobs in local economy, 2.36 rest of the state
 - Nuclear jobs pay 20% more, on average, than jobs at other energy sources
 - Nuclear jobs pay 36% more than average salaries in local area
- Economic Activity
 - \$500M+ in direct and indirect economic output annually
 - \$270 million in electricity sales
 - Spending at local (\$10M), State (\$48M) and national (\$236M) level
 - Taxes: \$10M in state and local, and \$40M in federal (annually)

Recent Survey of NEI's U.S. Utilities



Nuclear power's potential role in meeting their company's decarbonization goals:



NEI utility member companies produce nearly half of all US electricity.

Small Modular Reactors/Advanced Reactors Offer Significant Well-Paying, Long-Term Jobs



Generation Type	Permanent Jobs on Site	Industry Wage Median	Carbon-free Energy?	Grid-firm Energy?	Benefits Concentrated in Local Community?
Nuclear	237*	\$41.32	Yes	Yes	Yes
Coal	107	\$33.64	No	Yes	Yes
Natural Gas	30	\$34.02	No	Yes	Yes
Wind	80	\$25.95	Yes	No	No
Solar	36	\$24.48	Yes	No	No

* Based on NuScale VOYGR-12 design

Note: Comparison of alternatives producing annual electricity output equivalent to a typical 1,000 MWe coal plant

Source: ScottMadden, *Gone with the Steam*, October 2021 https://www.scottmadden.com/content/uploads/2021/10/ScottMadden_Gone_With_The_Steam_WhitePaper_final4.pdf

DOE Pathways to Commercial Liftoff



Nuclear has a unique value proposition for the net-zero grid



Source: <u>https://liftoff.energy.gov/advanced-nuclear/</u> More on cost: Kutak Rock, November 2023, <u>What is the Cost of Power</u>

Strong Federal Support for Advanced Reactors

- DOE funding 12 different designs, >\$5B over 7 years
- Bipartisan Infrastructure Law
 - \$2.5B funding for two demonstration projects
- Inflation Reduction Act
 - PTC: At least \$30/MWh for 10 years
 - ITC: 30% of investment
 - Both can be monetized, include 10% bonus for siting in certain energy communities
 - Loan Guarantees up to \$40B in expanded authority
 - HALEU Fuel \$700M
- CHIPS Act
 - Financial assistance to States, Tribes, local governments and Universities

Current Federal Policies:

https://www.nei.org/CorporateSite/media/filefolder/advantages/Current-Policy-Tools-to-Support-New-Nuclear.pdf



September 2022

Current Federal Policy Tools to Support New Nuclear

The following is a first of current policy tools that could directly support the deployment of new nuclear, could potentially indirectly support the deployment or planning for new nuclear, and that currently support the deployment of new nuclear.

Programs that Could Directly Support Deployment of New Nuclear

Clean Electricity Production Credit – 45Y

The inflation Reduction Act created a new technology-neutral tax orall for all clean electricity technologies, including advanced nucleur and power uprates that are pisce in to service in 303 or after. The bill does not change the existing Advanced Nuclear Production Tax Credit but precludes credits from being Collines under both programs. The value of the credit will be at teast 350 per magnetat-hour, begending on inflation, for the first tare year of pairs operation. The credit passes out when credits more electricity production are 75 percent below the 2022 level. The following is a link to the statutory ingages.

https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=45y&f=treesort&fq=true&num=2&h1=true&edition=pre/im& granuleId=USC-prelim-title26-section45Y

Clean Electricity Investment Credit – 48E

As an alternative to the clean electricity PTC, the limitation Reduction Ack provided the option of claiming a clean electricity investment credit for zero-emissions fabilities that is placed into service in 2023 or thereafter. This provides a credit of 30 percent of the investment in a new zero-carbon electricity fability, including nuclear plants. Like the other credits, this investment tax credit can be monetized. The ITC phases out uncer the same providences as the clean electricity PTC.

https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=48E+clean&f=treesort&fq=true&num=4&hl=true&edition=pr efim&granuleId=USC-prefim-title26-section48E

Both the clean electricity PTC and ITC include a 10-percentage point bonus for facilities sited in certain energy communities such as those that have hosted coal plants. The following is a link to the statutory language.

Credit for Production from Advanced Nuclear Power Facilities – 45J

The nuclear production tax credit 24 USC 431 provides a credit of 1.8 cents per bilowes/how up to a maximum of \$123 million per tax year for 8 years. Only the first 6000 MIV of new capacity installed after 2005 for a design power a first 1998 are religible for the tax credit. The credit does not include a direct pay providion, so the owner will need to have offsetting taxable income to claim the credit of transfer to credit on a neighbor project partner. The following is a time to tax integrade.

https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?reg=production+tax+credit&f=&fg=true&num=1&ht=true&editio n=prelim&granuleId=USC-prelim-title26-section431

State Policies & Regulations



Activities include defining nuclear as clean energy, establishing working groups or commissioning studies, providing regulatory support signals, removing bans on the construction of new nuclear facilities, among others

- At least 24 states enacted policies or approved orders in 2023 supporting existing reactors or the deployment of new reactor technologies.
- 11 states have prohibitions on new nuclear facility construction, but restrictions are quickly changing (IL most recently).
- Many states are requiring studies of the economic and carbon free benefits of next generation nuclear technology.
- More states are considering measures that better define nuclear as clean energy.



Strong Public Support for Nuclear Energy



Support by...



Independent	60 %
Republican	66 %

n=4.250

Top 5 nuclear sentiments³

(% aaree)

We need a way to produce more and more energy for our economy to keep growing	76 %
We need to be building capacity for more energy, not just trying to use less	63 %
We need nuclear energy in the mix, along with renewables, if we are to meet our climate goals	60%
Leaving nuclear waste behind is just wrong, however safe it is	59 %
We should use advanced nuclear energy to reduce our dependence on other countries	58 %



US

Support vs. opposition¹

Source: Potential Energy, 2023, https://potentialenergycoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/NewNuclear Report May2023.pdf

Advanced Nuclear Deployment Plans

State support and projects that may be in operation by early 2030s





©2024 Nuclear Energy Institute Updated 12/19/2023

QUESTIONS?

TTTT ITTT

tim

LITT

TITI

TIT

111 9

urd Way, GENSLER